

**MINUTES OF A REGULAR MEETING
OF THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES
OF THE VILLAGE OF THOMASTON**

September 8, 2014

The Board of Trustees met on Monday September 8, 2014 at the Village Hall, 100 East Shore Road, Great Neck, New York.

Present: Mayor Robert Stern, Deputy Mayor Steven Weinberg, Trustee James Sharkey and Trustee To-on Pang and Trustee Gary Noren

Absent: None

The Mayor opened the meeting at 7:30 p.m.

Adoption of Minutes

RESOLUTION 14-40

Upon motion of Trustee Sharkey, seconded by Trustee Pang, the following resolution was unanimously adopted:

RESOLVED, that the minutes of the Board of Trustees meeting held on July14, 2014 are hereby approved and accepted as presented.

Parking Regulations on Arbor Street

The residents of Arbor Street presented the Board with a petition to institute limited time parking regulations. They requested a ban on parking between 8:00am and 10:00 am Monday – Friday on both the north and south side of the street.

After a brief discussion of the reasons for the request the following resolution was made.

Upon motion of Trustee Sharkey, seconded by Trustee Pang, and unanimously approved the following resolution was adopted:

RESOLUTION 14-41

RESOLVED, that Village Code 196-38 is hereby amended, effective immediately, by adding thereto the following location, to be inserted into the Village Code in alphabetical order:

Arbor Street	North and South	8:00 – 10:00 am	Monday through Friday	between Summer Street and the dead end of Arbor Street
--------------	-----------------	-----------------	-----------------------	--

and it is further

RESOLVED, that the Superintendent of Public Works is directed to install such signage and the Village Administrator shall submit the revision to General Code Publishers Corp. for inclusion in the next Code supplement..

Installation of Speed Humps on Linden Street

A letter from a resident of Linden Street requesting the Village to consider the installation of speed humps to slow the speeding traffic on the street was taken under consideration by the Board of Trustees.

The resulting discussion led to the following conclusion.

Upon motion of Trustee Noren, seconded by Trustee Sharkey and unanimously approved, the following resolution was adopted:

RESOLUTION 14-42

RESOLVED, that the Village should seek an opinion from the NYS Department of transportation regarding the installation of speed humps. At the same time the Thomaston Department of Public Works select a location and install such a device on Linden Street

Vouchers

Upon motion of Trustee Sharkey, seconded by Trustee Pang, and unanimously approved, the following resolution was adopted:

RESOLUTION 14-43

RESOLVED, that the vouchers in the aggregate amount of \$163,276.84 (constituting the vouchers itemized in the report dated Monday September 8, 2014) are hereby approved for payment, all allocated to the General Fund.

Tax Certiorari Settlements

Belgrave Owners v. Thomaston Assessor

Upon motion of Mayor Stern, seconded by Trustee Sharkey and unanimously approved the following resolution was adopted:

RESOLUTION 14-44

RESOLVED, that the Village Attorney is authorized to proceed with negotiated settlement as outlined in his letter of August 25, 2014

310-333 East Shore Road v. Assessor

Upon motion of Mayor Stern, seconded by Trustee Sharkey and unanimously approved the following resolution was adopted:

RESOLUTION 14-45

RESOLVED, that the Village Attorney is authorized to settle this matter as outlined in his letter of September 2, 2014.

LED Lighting Grant

Upon motion of Mayor Stern, seconded by Trustee Sharkey, and unanimously approved the following resolution was adopted:

RESOLUTION 14-46

RESOLVED, that the Board hereby finds and concludes that

(a) the application of the Village for a grant to replace current street lighting with LED bulbs in the amount of \$40,000 requires a SEQR review

(b) the Board is the lead agency with respect to environmental review of this proposed action, and the proposed action is an Unlisted Action pursuant to Part 617 of the State Environmental Quality Review Act regulations;

(c) the Board has considered a completed short Environmental Assessment Form, and makes the following findings and conclusions with regard to the environmental impacts of the proposed action:

- (i) the proposed action would not result in any substantial adverse change in existing air quality, ground or surface water quality or quantity, traffic or noise levels, nor any substantial increase in solid waste production, nor create a substantial increase in the potential for erosion, flooding, leaching or drainage problems;
- (ii) the proposed action would not result in the removal or destruction of large quantities of vegetation or fauna, substantial interference with the movement of any resident or migratory fish or wildlife species, impacts on a significant habitat area, substantial adverse impacts on a threatened or endangered species of animal or plant, or the habitat of such a species, or other significant adverse impacts to natural resources;
- (iii) the proposed action would not impair the environmental characteristics of any Critical Environmental Area;
- (iv) the proposed action would not conflict with the community's current plans or goals as official approved or adopted;
- (v) the proposed action would not impair the character or quality of important historical, archeological, architectural or aesthetic resources or of existing community or neighborhood character;
- (vi) the proposed action would not result in a major change in the use of either the quantity or type of energy;
- (vii) the proposed action would not create a hazard to human health;
- (viii) the proposed action would not create a substantial change in the use, or intensity of use, of land, including agricultural, open space or recreational resources, or in its capacity to support existing uses;
- (ix) the proposed action would not encourage or attract large numbers of persons to any place for more than a few days, compared to the number who would come to such place without such action;

- (x) the proposed action would not create changes in two or more elements of the environment, no one of which would have a significant impact on the environment, but when taken considered together would result in a substantial adverse impact on the environment;
- (xi) the proposed action would not create substantial adverse impacts when considered cumulatively with any other actions, proposed or in process;
- (xii) the proposed action would not result in substantial adverse impact with respect to any relevant environmental consideration, including noise, aesthetics, traffic, air quality, water quality or adequacy of water supply, drainage, soil conditions, or quality of life in the community in general and the immediate neighborhood in particular;
- (d) the proposed action would not have a significant adverse environmental impact; and
- (e) no further environmental review is required with respect to the proposed action.

RESOLVED, that the replacement of street lighting with LED bulbs would result in energy conservation and the grant application should be filed with this negative SEQR decision, and it is further

RESOLVED, that the Mayor is authorized on behalf of the Village to execute the inter- municipal agreement with Nassau County required by this grant.

At the conclusion of the regular agenda, Mayor Stern announced his resignation as Mayor of the Village of Thomaston effective Tuesday September 9, 2014 at 4:00 pm. He appointed Deputy Mayor Weinberg as Acting Mayor until such time as the Board of Trustees appoints a Mayor as provided by law.

At 8:20, there being no further business the meeting was adjourned.

Respectfully submitted,

Barbara J. Daniels

Village Administrator